

Appl. No 10/050,474

Amdt. Dated

Reply to Office action of 09/27/2004

5

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The phrases "LCD" in the specification and in the claims have been amended to "lamp".

10 The Examiner pointed out that claims 1-5, and 7-9 were rejected as being anticipated by the cited references, and claim 6 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response to this, claim 6 has been added to claim 1 so as to further narrow the scope of the claim, thereby, the amended 1 would be
15 allowable, and other claims also would be allowable since they are dependent upon the amended base claim 1 thought to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments, applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the
20 Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed below.

Applicant respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

5

By: Charles E. Baxley

Charles E. Baxley

Attorney of Record

USPTO Reg. 20, 149

10

90 John Street, Third Floor

New York, New York 10038

Telephone 212-791-7200

Facsimile 212-791-727